

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/919,538	07/31/2001	James Joseph Babka	021556.0124	4376	
22850 75	2850 7590 03/29/2006			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPI	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	OPIE, GEORGE L			
1940 DUKE ST			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ALEXANDRI <i>A</i>	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194		
	•		DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
	•			EXAMINER			
				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	DATE MAILED:						
		INTERV	EW SUMMARY				
All p	articipants (applicant, applican	t's representative, PTO personnel	):				
(1)	George Opic	1 -	(3) <b>Mr. Mond(o</b>	(52,041)			
(2)	Todd Ingberg	/-					
Was a state of the							
	Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant papplicant's representative).						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:							
	· ·						
Agre	ement 🗆 was reached. 📈 w	as not reached.					
Clain	n(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed: USPN# 6,389,487 and m C Dougall							
	•						
Daga	vintion of the second second			P. La La V			
	mend ment for	what was agreed to if an agreeme	^				
<u>~</u>	*: + L	1_	From multiple	application			
	ejeci typers	to a commo	n interface i	Object type.			
( A ful	ler description, if necessary, ar	nd a conv of the amendments, if a	vailable, which the everying and	eed would render the claims allowable			
must attach	be allactied. Also, where tio Ci	opy of the amendments which wor	uld render the claims allowable is	eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be			
X	<b>/</b> '	nt to provide a separate record of	the substance of the intensions				
Unles	s the paragraph above has bee	en checked to indicate to the cont	rany A FORMAL WRITTEN DED	LY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION			
action	has are ready been filed, APP	THE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE I	VIERVIEW (See MDED Section	1713.04). If a reply to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE			
SUBS	TANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.		THE WILL BALL	TOTILE A STATEMENT OF THE			
Exam	iner Note: You must sign this fo	orm unless it is an attachment to a	nother form.	2474/X			
	-		Va	70X4			
			<b>4</b>	Todd Ingberg			

FORM **PTOL-413** (REV. 2-98)

Primary Examiner Group 2100

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting tavorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The-action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures sales.

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the Contents, list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Application Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- The signature of the examiner who songlected the interview

   Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the examiner agree that the examiner win record same. Where the examiner agrees to locate the same applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the sybstence of the internet.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c) ).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initia 19dy 11 bbol

Primary Examiner **Group 2100**